Original

Juan González-Castillo¹ Caterina Cenci¹ Esther Rodriguez-Adrada¹ Francisco Javier Candel² Fernando de la Torre-Misiego² Cristina Fernández³ Francisco Javier Martín-Sánchez¹

Staphylococcus aureus infections and factors associated with resistance to methicillin in a hospital emergency department

¹Emergency Department. Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain ²Clinical Microbiology Ward. Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain ³Preventive Medicine Department. Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

Objective. Assessment of the characteristics of patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) infections, and factors associated with resistance to methicillin in a hospital emergency department (ED) in Spain.

Materials and Methods. All adult patients admitted between January 2007 and December 2010 with a SA infection confirmed by a positive culture in a sample obtained in the hospital emergency department were selected for enrolment. Epidemiological, clinical, therapeutic and microbiological variables were retrospectively collected from the patients' medical charts. The variable assessed within the primary outcome of the study was the isolation of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). For the purpose of the analysis, the sample was divided in terms of the presence or not of the resistance to methicillin and a logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the factors associated with isolation and empirical antibiotic coverage of MRSA.

Results. A total of 207 patients with a confirmed SA infection were included in the study analysis, with a mean age of 64.7 (SD 20) years. MRSA was isolated in a total of 63 (30.4%) patients, and a linear incremental trend was observed over the course of the study (p=0,047). MRSA was empirically covered in the emergency department on an average rate of one in three patients. Independent factors associated with the isolation of MRSA were: age above 65 years [OR 2.97 (95% CI 1.24 to 7.1), P = 0.014], severe baseline functional dependence [OR 2.41 (95 % 1.02 to 5.69), P = 0.045], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [OR 4.83 (95% CI 1.88 to 12.42), P = 0.001], history of antibiotic treatment within the previous 2 months [OR 4.94 (95% CI 2.27 to 10.76), P < 0.001] and a confirmed urinary infection [OR 5.98 (95% CI 1.65 to 21.69) p = 0.007]. Independent factors associated with empiric coverage of MR-

Correspondence: Dr. Juan González del Castillo Hospital Clínico San Carlos. Emergency Ward. Profesor Martín Lagos s/n. Madrid, 28040 Teléfono: 913303750 Email: jgonzalezcast@wanadoo.es SA in the ED were history of antibiotic treatment within the previous 2 months [OR 3.88 (95% Cl 1.76 to 8.57), P <0.001] and the presence of a catheter device [OR 6.28 (95% Cl 1.64 to 24.07), P = 0.007].

Conclusions. Resistance to methicillin appears to be increasingly frequent in patients infected with SA admitted in our emergency department and there appears to be a need for a more optimal empiric antibiotic treatment in these patients.

Infección por *Staphylococcus aureus* y factores asociados con la resistencia a meticilina en un servicio de urgencias hospitalario

RESUMEN

Objetivo. Determinar las características de los pacientes con infección estafilocócica, y los factores asociados a la resistencia a meticilina en un servicio de urgencias hospitalario (SUH) en España.

Material y Métodos. Se seleccionaron todos los casos de adultos ingresados por una infección causada por *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) demostrada mediante un cultivo positivo en la muestra obtenida en un servicio de urgencias hospitalario entre enero de 2007 y diciembre de 2010. Se recogieron de forma retrospectiva variables epidemiológicas, clínicas, terapéuticas y microbiológicas de la historia clínica. La variable resultado principal fue el aislamiento de SARM. Para el análisis, la muestra se dividió en función de la presencia o no de la resistencia meticilina y se realizó un análisis de regresión logística con el fin de identificar los factores asociados al aislamiento y la cobertura antibiótica empírica de SARM.

Resultados. Se incluyeron 207 pacientes infectados por SA con una edad media de 64,7 (DE 20) años. El SARM se aisló en un total de 63 (30,4%) pacientes. La cobertura empírica en urgencias para SARM se realizó en uno de cada tres casos. Los factores independientes asociados al aislamiento de SARM fueron: el tener más de 65 años [OR 2,97 (IC95% 1,24-7,1); p=0,014], la dependencia funcional basal J. González del Castillo, et al.

grave [OR 2,41 (IC95% 1,02-5,69); p=0,045], la enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva crónica [OR 4,83 (IC95% 1,88-12,42); p=0,001], la toma de antibióticos los 2 meses previos [OR 4,94 (IC95% 2,27-10,76); p < 0,001] y el foco infeccioso urinario [OR 5,98 (IC95% 1,65-21,69) p=0,007]. Los factores independientes asociados a la cobertura empírica para SARM en urgencias fueron la toma de antibióticos en los dos meses previos [OR 3,88 (IC95% 1,76-8,57); p<0,001] y el ser portador de catéter [OR 6,28 (IC95% 1,64-24,07); p=0,007].

Conclusiones. La resistencia a meticilina es cada vez más frecuente en las infecciones estafilocócicas, y existe la necesidad de realizar una mejor adecuación antibiótica en el tratamiento empírico en los servicios de urgencias.

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus (SA) is one of the most frequently isolated microorganisms in humans, causing a broad spectrum of infectious conditions ranging from sepsis to infections of skin and soft tissue, endocarditis, bacteraemia associated with indwelling medical devices, necrotizing pneumonia, urinary tract infections, as well as arthritis and osteomyelitis. Recent studies have documented a high rate of resistance to antibiotics in SA isolates in Spain, and especially resistance to methicillin¹. This fact, in conjunction with a possible misuse of antibiotics, the ability of bacteria to exchange the genetic material conferring antimicrobial resistance, as well as the effect of globalization, emphasize the overall importance of the treatment of the infections caused by SA as a major healthcare problem.

Traditionally, the MRSA as a pathogen has primarily been associated with the infections acquired within hospital settings. However, in recent years an increased prevalence of community acquired MRSA infections has been reported²⁻⁴. These results may be related both to the high prevalence of colonization in patients admitted to nursing homes or long-term care facilities⁵⁻⁷, as well as the increasing incidence of MRSA necrotizing infections in young adults with no known risk factors or contact with the healthcare environment⁸⁻⁹. Furthermore, community acquired infections by MRSA appear to be an emerging issue worldwide, specially in United States¹⁰, whilst the exact risk factors still appear to be insufficiently elaborated. Taking all this into account, a different approach to the empiric antibiotic treatment of staphylococcal community acquired infections appears to be warranted.

Infections constitute one of the primary pathologies diagnosed in the hospital emergency departments (ED). The ED is also considered a gateway to the hospital, whilst it also reflects in a reliable manner the social and health-related changes occurring in the community. Here, for example, as a consequence of an aging population as well as the overall developments within the healthcare system, an increasing prevalence of elderly or immunocompromised patients, as well as patients with indwelling medical devices, institutionalized patients or those originating from nursing or long-term care centers are being admitted in the ED. On the other hand, an important percentage of antimicrobial treatments are initiated during the very stay in the ED, most of these being done empirically, due to the limited availability of the rapid microbiological techniques that might enable a more directed antibiotic treatment¹¹⁻¹². Furthermore, this situation may also be influenced by the absence of an enabling environment in the ED, due to periods of saturation and the difficulty in obtaining the adequate information regarding the MRSA risk factors¹³⁻¹⁴. Finally, an improper selection of the initial antibiotic treatment leads to an increased mortality regardless of the subsequent modifications in correlation with the results of the microbiology testing¹⁵⁻¹⁶. In addition to the aspects related to the posterior clinical evolution of these patients, an inadequate empirical antimicrobial treatment against MRSA is also associated with a longer hospital stay and a higher overall treatment cost¹⁷⁻¹⁹.

Previous studies reported an increased prevalence of MRSA in EDs²⁰⁻²², but there appears to be a lack of data regarding the exact nature of the predictive factors associated with an MRSA infection in the ED. The aim of this study was therefore to determine the characteristics of the patients with SA infection and factors associated with resistance to methicillin admitted in an ED in Spain.

METHODOLOGY

Patient selection. A retrospective case series study was performed involving all adult patients hospitalized for a SA infection confirmed by a positive culture in samples obtained in the emergency department of a tertiary hospital center during the period of January 2007 through December 2010. Patients were identified through a Microbiology Department database and only a single episode per patient was considered during the study period.

Study Setting. The San Carlos Clinical Hospital is a tertiary, urban, teaching hospital, with an assigned area of approximately 500,000 patients in the Autonomous Community of Madrid. The ED attends an average of 450 patients per day, with one out of four being elderly patients.

Variables. Epidemiological, clinical, microbiological and therapeutic variables were retrospectively collected from patient's medical charts. The independent variables analyzed in the study included age, patient personal history (including the history of diabetes mellitus, renal failure, chronic liver disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, rheumatoid arthritis, HIV infection, solid organ and/or hematological malignancies, or intravenous drug abuse), treatment (chronic corticosteroid therapy, biological therapy and/or chemotherapy), patient's provenience prior to the ED admission (home, long-term care institution, nursing home), the degree of baseline functional dependence status as per the Barthel index, prior hospital admissions within the previous month, history of antibiotic treatment in the last two months, including the class of antibiotic administered (beta-lactam, quinolone, macrolide, aminoglycoside, combination therapy or other), prior colonization by SA, the type

64

of infection (urinary infection, skin and soft tissue, respiratory, infections associated with an indwelling medical device, or other infections), hospital ward of the subsequent hospital admission upon ED discharge (medical, surgical, intensive care unit, short stay unit), the empirical antibiotic treatment initiated in the ED, the risk factors for multidrug-resistant Gram-positive microorganisms²³ (the presence of a catheter device or prostheses, hemodialysis and/or the presence of skin ulcers) and the type of sample obtained in the ED for microbiology analysis (sputum, exudates, blood, urine or other). The collection and processing of the samples was performed according to the procedures established by the Department of Microbiology. The study primary outcome variable was the isolation of MRSA in the clinical sample cultures taken in the ED. We included indistinctively both the infections by clonal variants of the hospital strains of MR-SA (HA-MRSA), usually isolated in healthcare related infections (patients originating from day hospitals, undergoing dialysis or homecare of skin ulcers, patients with indwelling medical devices, or receiving previous antibiotic treatment, etc.), as well as the infections by the community acquired variants of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA), usually causing community acquired necrotizing infections in patients with no known recent contact with the healthcare setting²³.

Microbiology assessments. Biologic samples proceeding from ED were cultured in standart culture media (BioMerieux®). We identified *Staphylococcus aureus* using VITEK panels (bioMérieux SA, Marcy l'Etoile, France), MicroScan panels (Dade MicroScan, West Sacramento, California, USA), WIDER system (Francisco Soria Melguizo SA, Madrid, Spain) or MAL-DI-TOF (Bruker Microflex LT Biotyper). The MICs were confirmed using agar dilution methods following the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Statistical analysis. With respect to the statistical analysis, the qualitative variables were presented as absolute numbers and their frequency distribution. Quantitative variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). For the purpose of the analysis, the sample was divided in terms of the presence or absence of resistance to methicillin. We used the Student t test for quantitative variables, whilst for the analysis of the qualitative variables a chi-square test was performed, or the Fisher's exact test in case that more than 25% of the expected frequencies were less than 5. To identify factors associated with isolation and empiric antibiotic coverage of MRSA, we performed a logistic regression analysis to control the effects of the confounding factors. We calculated the odds ratio (OR) and confidence intervals at 95% (CI95%). Differences were considered to be significant for p < 0.05. The processing and analysis of the data was performed using the SPSS 15.0 statistical software.

RESULTS

A total of 207 patients with a confirmed SA infection were included in the study, resulting in a mean age of the population analyzed of 64.7 (SD 20) years. Noteworthy, one in four patients on an average scale had some degree of functional dependency and only an average of one patient in ten patients analyzed was referred from a nursing home or a long-term stay center. The most common type of infection in the overall population was skin and soft tissue infections (42%). Aditionally, MRSA was isolated in a total of 63 (30.4%) patients, whilst the most common types of MRSA confirmed infections where respiratory infections in 23 cases (36.5%), followed by infections of the skin and soft tissue in 20 cases (32%) and urinary tract infections in 11



Figure 1

Isolation of MRSA and empirical antibiotic coverage. MRSA. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MRSA AB: Antibiotic active againt methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Linear association of the isolation of MRSA (p=0,047)

Та	bl	e	1	

Patient characteristics and factors associated with an MRSA infection

	Total sample	MSSA	MRSA	Р
n	207	144	63	
Age	64,7 (SD 20)	60,95 (SD 21,5)	73,29 (SD 13,6)	< 0,001
Type of infection				0,008
Skin and soft tissue	88 (42,51)	68 (47,2)	20 (31,7)	
Indwelling medical devices	19 (9,17)	17 (11,8)	2 (3,2)	
Bone and joint infections	12 (5,79)	8 (5,6)	4 (6,3)	
Respiratory	57 (27,53)	34 (23,6)	23 (36,5)	
Urinary	19 (9,17)	8 (5,6)	11 (17,5)	
Other	12 (9,17)	9 (6,3)	3 (4,8)	
Patient origin				0,198
Home	181 (87,4)	129 (89,6)	52 (82,5)	
Nursing home	9 (4,3)	4 (2,8)	5 (7,9)	
Long-term care center	9 (4,3)	7 (4,9)	2 (3,2)	
Other	8 (3,9)	4 (2,8)	4 (6,3)	
Previous hospitalization	67 (32,4)	39 (27,1)	28 (44,4)	0,014
History of antibiotic treatment	72 (34,8)	37 (26,1)	35 (55,6)	< 0,001
SA Colonization	21 (10,1)	15 (10,4)	6 (9,5)	0,845
Basal functional status				0,004
Independent	158 (76,3)	118 (81,9)	40 (63,5)	
Partially dependent	31 (15)	19 (13,2)	12 (19)	
Dependent	18 (8,7)	7 (4,9)	11 (17,5)	
Co-morbidities				
Onco-haemotological	50 (24,2)	30 (20,8)	20 (31,7)	0,097
Diabetes mellitus	53 (25,6)	32 (22,2)	21 (33,3)	0,097
Renal insufficiency	50 (24,2)	28 (19,4)	22 (34,9)	0,018
Chronic hepatic disease	26 (12,6)	20 (13,9)	6 (9,5)	0,374
COPD	38 (18,4)	16 (11,1)	22 (34,9)	< 0,001
Rheumatoid arthritis	11 (5,3)	7 (4,9)	4 (6,3)	0,669
HIV	9 (4,3)	8 (5,6)	1 (1,6)	0,195
Risk factors				
Presence of a catheter device	27 (13)	19 (13,2)	8 (12,7)	0,908
Dialysis	18 (8,7)	16 (11,1)	2 (3,2)	0,061
Chronic ulcers	28 (13,5)	18 (12,5)	10 (15,9)	0,498
Presence of a urinary catheter	12 (5,8)	5 (3,5)	7 (11,1)	0,032
Intravenous drug abuse	8 (3,9)	8 (5,6)	0 (0)	0,056
Prosthesis	17 (8,2)	12 (8,3)	5 (7,9)	0,913
Chronic corticosteroid therapy	46 (22,2)	21 (14,6)	25 (39,7)	< 0,001
Biologic therapy	11 (5,3)	8 (5,6)	3 (4,8)	0,815
Chemotherapy	25 (12,1)	16 (11,1)	9 (14,3)	0,531

Tabl	e 1
------	-----

Patient characteristics and factors associated with an MRSA infection (cont.)

	Total sample	MSSA	MRSA	р	
Sample type	Total sample		WINDA	0,006	
Sputum	32 (15,5)	19 (13,2)	13 (20,6)	01000	
Exudates	32 (15,5)	30 (20,8)	2 (3,2)		
Blood	63 (30,4)	44 (30,6)	19 (30,2)		
Urine	13 (6,3)	6 (4,2)	7 (11,1)		
Other	67 (32,1)	45 (31,3)	22 (34,9)		
Type of antibiotic administered in the ED				< 0,001	
Beta-lactam	46 (25,7)	28 (22,4)	18 (33,3)		
Quinolone	27 (15,1)	20 (16,0)	7 (13,0)		
Macrolide	6 (3,4)	6 (4,8)	0 (0)		
Cloxacillin	26 (14,5)	24 (19,2)	2 (3,7)		
Vancomycin	36 (20,1)	28 (22,4)	8 (14,8)		
Teicoplanin	7 (3,9)	4 (3,2)	3 (5,6)		
Linezolid	11 (6,1)	3 (2,4)	8 (14,8)		
Daptomycin	2 (1,1)	1 (0,8)	1 (1,9)		
Other	14 (7,8)	11 (8,8)	3 (5,6)		
MRSA coverage	56 (27,1)	36 (25)	20 (31,7)	0,151	

Quantitative variables are expressed as media \pm standard deviation, and qualitative as total number (percentage). The functional status was classified in accordance with the Barthel scale as: independent (100 points), partially dependent (99-61), y dependent (\leq 60). SA: *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA: methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*; ED: emergency department; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SD: standard deviation.

cases (17.5%). The most frequent empirical antibiotic treatment in the overall population was beta-lactams in 46 cases (26%), followed by vancomycin in 36 cases (20%) and quinolones in 27 (15%). Empirical antibiotic treatment with coverage of MRSA was initiated in a total of 56 (27%) patients (table 1).

With respect to the evolution of the prevalence of the SA isolates resistant to methicillin in terms of years, a linear growth trend (p = 0.047) was documented throughout the study period. Additionally, an increase in the prescription of antimicrobial coverage against MRSA was also observed in this period, although the correlation failed to achieve statistical significance (p = 0.463) (figure 1).

Regarding the factors associated with the isolation of MRSA, we detected the following: age (p < 0.001), the patient's baseline functional status (p = 0.004), history of renal failure (p = 0.018), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (p < 0.001), the presence of a urinary catheter (p = 0.032), chronic corticosteroid therapy (p < 0.001), type of infection (p = 0.008), previous hospitalization with the past month (p = 0.014) and history of antibiotic treatment within the 2 previous months (p < 0.001) (table 1).

Furthermore, the multivariate analysis confirmed five independent factors associated with the isolation of MRSA: age above 65 years [OR 2.97 (95% Cl 1.24 to 7.1), P = 0.014], severe baseline functional dependence [OR 2.41 (95% Cl 1.02 to 5.69), P = 0.045], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [OR 4.83 (95% CI 1.88 to 12.42), P = 0.001], history of antibiotic treatment within the 2 previous months [OR 4.94 (95% CI 2.27 to 10.76), P <0.001] and a urinary infection [OR 5.98 (95% CI 1.65 to 21.69) p = 0.007] (table 2).

When analyzing the antibiotic treatments initiated in patients with a confirmed MRSA infection, it should be noted that on an average scale, the empiric coverage of this microorganism was considered in only one out of three cases admitted in our ED (table 1). After performing the multivariate analysis, were identified the following independent factors associated with the MRSA empirical coverage: history of antibiotic treatment within the 2 previous months [OR 3.88 (95% CI 1.76 to 8.57), P <0.001] and the presence of a catheter device [OR 6.28 (95% CI 1.64 to 24.07), P = 0.007], as well as a positive trend in patients with indwelling medical devices [OR 4.46 (95% 0 ,83-23, 91), P = 0.081] (table 3).

DISCUSSION

The present study documents that on an average, one in three patients admitted in our emergency department for a confirmed SA infection presented resistance to oxacillin, whilst this percentage also showed a linear increasing trend over the years of the study.

a	bl	e	2	

Factors associated with MRSA isolation. Multivariate Analysis

Variable	OR	CI 95%	Р
Age > 65 years	2,97	1,24-7,10	0,014
Functional dependence	2,41	1,02-5,69	0,045
COPD	4,83	1,88-12,42	0,001
History of antibiotic therapy	4,94	2,27-10,76	< 0,001
Renal insufficiency	2,34	0,96-5,68	0,061
Urinary infection	5,98	1,65-21,69	0,007
Respiratory infection	1,57	0,63-3,91	0,330
Indwelling medical device	0,27	0,48-1,52	0,138
Bone and joint infection	3,65	0,80-16,62	0,094
Other	1,21	0,20-7,17	0,834
Skin and soft tissue infection	Reference		

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The variables included in the analysis were age, type of infection, prior hospitalization, history of antibiotic treatment, patient's functional status, renal failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, presence of a urinary catheter, chronic corticosteroids therapy.

In a recent publication, Hadler et al.¹⁰ show a general reduction in MRSA isolates. It could be related with hospital measures control. In this work, authors find an increase, but around 5% of CA-MRSA and a decrease of nosocomial MRSA under 10%. The percentage of HA-MRSA remains constantly around 15% of isolates. This decrease was also reported specifically in MRSA nosocomial pneumonia²³, however, these studies are biased owing to selection by culture positivity, which are only requested in less than 15% of cases.

In Spain, an increase in the percentage of CA-MRSA in the emergency departments, especially in infections of skin and soft tissue, was described²⁴⁻²⁶. None the less, based on the results of this study, in our health area most of the community acquired MRSA infections appear to belong to the group of infections previously denominated as healthcare related, given the overall profile of the population included in our study reporting a higher mean age, a significant degree of comorbidity and/or baseline functional dependence, and a high percentage of previous hospital admission and a recent history of antibiotic treatment. It should also be noted that although in our setting, the prevalence of resistance to methicillin in these infections is not negligible, it still remains far from the results reported in the epidemiological studies performed in the US emergency departments, where the prevalence of MRSA infection of skin and soft tissue appears to round some 60% in certain cases²⁷. Still, our results do support the notion that the presence of MRSA is to be taken into consideration when it comes to staphylococcal infections in the emergency departments in Spain, and consequently should also be taken into account when starting the empirical antibiotic prescription in these patients.

As previously noted, the principal independent risk factors associated with an MRSA infection in our study were age above

65 years, certain degree of functional dependence, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, history of antibiotic treatment in the previous two months and the presence of a urinary infection. Previous studies performed in similar patient populations already highlighted the age and the recent history of antibiotic treatment as a risk factor for MRSA skin and soft tissue infection²⁸⁻³¹, as well as to some degree the level of functional dependence and chronic pulmonary comorbidity³¹. Here we consider it to be important to point out that the presence of a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and baseline functional impairment could also be explained as variables closely related to an increased number of cycles of antibiotics therapy, frequent hospitalizations and other forms of healthcare, which on the other hand has by itself been associated with and increased prevalence of MRSA colonization in ED²⁸⁻²⁹. In our population none the less, and unlike these results previously reported in other ED populations, there appeared to be no association of a history of diabetes mellitus, previous MRSA infection or MRSA colonization or patient provenance from geriatric or long-term stay centers, as risk factor for a subsequent MRSA infection. Still, this may be also related to the limited sample size, the small number of nursing homes in our health area and the lack of practice of MRSA screening for colonization in our population.

In our study, the most frequent forms of the MRSA infection were respiratory infections, and not skin and soft tissue infections as reported in previous epidemiological studies of staphylococcal infections in the emergency departments³¹. This may be a result of a frequent outpatient management of the skin and soft tissue infections in our area, as well as the high prevalence of respiratory pathology in our emergency department, being this also a condition with a higher likelihood for a posterior hospital admission, as well as a higher probability for adequate microbiological sputum and blood sampling compared to other infections. We would also like to note that *Staphylococcus aureus* infections and factors associated with resistance to methicillin in a hospital emergency department.

Table 3

Factors associated with an empirical coverage of MRSA in the emergency department

Variable	OR	CI 95%	Р	
History of antibiotic treatment	3,88	1,76-8,57	<0,001	
Presence of a catheter device	6,28	1,64-24,07	0,007	
Urinary infection	0,24	0,04-1,33	0,104	
Respiratory infection	0,50	0,18-1,36	0,173	
Presence of an indwelling medical device	4,46	0,83-23,91	0,081	
Bone and joint infection	2,04	0,48-8,55	0,331	
Other	0,84	0,19-3,75	0,815	
Skin and soft tissue infection	Reference			

The variables included in the model were: chronic renal failure, dialysis, History of antibiotic treatment, presence of a catheter device and the type of infection.

staphylococcal infection of urinary origin was also identified as an independent factor for the isolation of MRSA. In conjunction to this finding, previous studies have also reported that SA causes urinary tract infections in patients with urinary catheters and that the majority of these cases tends to be resistant to methicillin³².

According to the findings of this study, the empirical antibiotic coverage was appropriate in not more than one in three patients with an MRSA infection in our ED. These data are consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated the low rates of prediction of methicillin resistance in staphylococcus infections in emergency departments^{31,33}. Furthermore, we would also like to highlight the linear increase in the prevalence of MRSA infections observed throughout the study period, coupled with an increase in the prescription of antibiotics against resistant Gram-positive microorganisms in the ED, though the latter lacking statistical significance. These data are also consistent with the data reported in the literature regarding the empirical treatment against MRSA in ED, which is estimated to be inappropriate in some 50-70% of the cases³⁴⁻³⁶. Many of the factors independently associated with an appropriate empirical antibiotic coverage against MRSA in the ED patients with a suspected staphylococcal infection found in our study were previously described in the literature, such as the presence of a catheter device, or an infection of skin and soft tissue, or infections associated with the presence of indwelling medical devices. However, our series does detect other, not so frequently reported though just as logical factors, taking into account their associated comorbidity and possible floral disruption, such as advanced age coupled with functional impairment, or even some specific forms of staphylococcus infection, such as exacerbations of COPD or urinary tract infections. Therefore, we consider that additional, prospective and multicentre studies are warranted in order to further clarify the risk of MRSA infections with respect to the presence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, patient functional dependency and type of infection, specifically urinary tract infections.

Our study also has certain limitations. First, this was a retrospective study, conducted in patients admitted for staphylococcus infections from a single emergency department, in a tertiary level hospital center covering an urban area. Secondly, given that the study was conducted under conditions of routine clinical practice in an ED with high attendance rate, this may have introduced undesired variability in the decision making process regarding the correct microbiological sampling and sample processing, which may have consequently limited the overall sensitivity of the microbiology results. Thirdly, being our work a retrospective prevalence study, there was not able to adequately assess certain factors such as colonization by SA and the temporal relationship between the acquisition of SA and the emergency medical care. Finally, MRSA strains were not genotyped and we used epidemiology, clinical picture and phenotype susceptibility patterns to stablish an aproximated clasification between CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA. MRSA strains were considered as healthcare-associated (HA-MRSA) when were obtained from patients with previous admission, recent antimicrobial therapy or when were resistant to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin or clindamycin. MRSA were considered as community-acquired when strains were isolated from complicated pulmonary or soft tissue infections, in patients without previous admission and were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin or clindamycin. Despite all this, we do consider that the results presented in this study provide valuable findings regarding the characteristics of staphylococcal infections and the factors associated with methicillin resistance in patients admitted in the emergency department in Spain.

In conclusion to our findings, our study detected a 30% rate of methicillin resistance in staphylococcal infections admitted in the emergency department, whilst only 1 in 3 patients with MRSA infection received appropriate initial antibiotic treatment. Furthermore, we also conclude that there is a need for prospective multicenter studies that allow us to determine the overall prevalence of MRSA in Spanish EDs, as well as the associated risk factors, in order to optimize the empirical antibiotic therapy against this pathogen. This would allow us not only to improve the adequacy of the initial treatment of these patients, but also help avoid the excessive antibiotic usage that could increase the selection pressure and resistance rates in this and other pathogens.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To Trikic M Ladem for the style correction of our manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Cuevas O, Cercenado E, Goyanes MJ, Vindel A, Trincado P, Boquete T, et al. *Staphylococcus* spp. en España: situación actual y evolución de la resistencia a antimicrobianos (1986-2006). Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2008; 26:269-77.
- Otter JA, French GL. Community-associated meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains as a cause of healthcare-associated infection. J Hosp Infect 2011; 79:189-93.
- 3. Elston JWT, Barlow GD. Community-associated MRSA in the United Kingdom. J Infect 2009; 59:149-55.
- De Leo FR, Otto M, Kreiswirth BN, Chambers HF. Community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Lancet 2010; 375:1557–68.
- Sánchez Ferrín P, Fontecha Gomez BJ, del Val Romero B, Alonso-Tarrés C y Martin-Baranera M. Evolución de la colonización por *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente a meticilina en un hospital de media y larga estancia. Med Clin (Barc). 2009; 132:43–8.
- García-García JA, Santos-Morano J, Castro C, Bayoll-Serradilla E, Martín-Ponce ML, Vergara-López S y col. Prevalencia y factores asociados a la colonización por *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente a meticilina en centros de larga estancia en el sur de España. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2011; 29:405–10.
- Datta R, Huang SS. Risk of Infection and Death due to Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Long-Term Carriers. Clin Infect Dis. 2008; 47:176–81.
- Walraven CJ, Lingenfelter E, Rollo J, Madsen T, Alexander DP. Diagnostic and therapeutic evaluation of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) skin and soft tissue infections in the emergency department. J Emerg Med 2012; 42:392-9.
- Adam HJ, Allen VG, Currie A, McGeer AJ, Simor AE, Richardson SE, et al. EMERGENT Working Group. Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: prevalence in skin and soft tissue infections at emergency departments in the Greater Toronto Area and associated risk factors. CJEM. 2009; 11:439-46.
- Hadler JL, Petit S, Mandour M, Cartter ML. "Trends in invasive infection with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Connecticut, USA, 2001-2010". Emerg Infect Dis 2012; 18: 917-24.

- 11. Revuelta Evrard E. Monoartritis en urgencias. Emergencias 2011; 23: 218-25.
- 12. Ferré C, Llopis F, Jacob J, Juan A, Palom X, Bardés I, et al. Evaluación de la utilidad de la tinción del Gram del esputo para el manejo de la neumonía en urgencias. Emergencias 2011; 23: 108-11.
- 13. C.R. Flores. La saturación de los servicios de urgencias: una llamada a la unidad. Emergencias 2011; 23:59-64.
- Iniesta Navalón C, Urbieta Sanz E, Gascón Cánovas JJ, Madrigal de Torres M, Piñera Salmerón P. Evaluación de la anamnesis farmacoterapéutica realizada en el servicio de urgencias al ingreso hospitalario. Emergencias 2011; 23: 365-71.
- Ibrahim EH, Sherman G, Ward S, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. The influence of inadequate antimicrobial treatment of bloodstream infections on patient outcomes in the ICU setting. Chest 2000; 118:146-55.
- 16. Kollef MH, Sherman G, Ward S, Fraser VJ. Inadequate antimicrobial treatment of infections: a risk factor for hospital mortality among critically ill patients. Chest 1999; 115:462-74.
- 17. Lodise TP, McKinnon PS, Swiderski L, Rybak MJ. Outcomes analysis of delayed antibiotic treatment for hospital-acquired *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:1418-23.
- Schramm GE, Johnson JA, Doherty JA, Micek ST, Kollef MH. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* sterile-site infection: The importance of appropriate initial antimicrobial treatment. Crit Care Med 2006; 34:2069-74.
- 19. Shorr AFM, Micek STP, Kollef MHM. Inappropriate therapy for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: resource utilization and cost implications. Crit Care Med 2008; 36:2335-40.
- 20. Al-Rawahi GN, Reynolds S, Porter SD, Forrester L, Kishi L, Chong T, et al. Community-associated CMRSA-10 (USA-300) is the predominant strain among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains causing skin and soft tissue infections in patients presenting to the emergency department of a Canadian tertiary care hospital. J Emerg Med. 2010; 38:6-11.
- 21. Fridkin SK, Hageman JC, Morrison M, Sanza LT, Como-Sabetti K, Jernigan JA, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* disease in three communities. N Engl J Med 2005; 352:1436-44.
- 22. Moran GJ, Krishnadasan A, Gorwitz RJ, Fosheim GE, McDougal LK, Carey RB, et al. EMERGEncy ID Net Study Group. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infections among patients in the emergency department. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355:666-74.
- 23. Wunderink RG. "How important is methicillin-resistant *Staph-ylococcus aureus* as a cause of community-acquired pneumonia and what is best antimicrobial therapy?". Infect Dis Clin North Am 2013; 27: 177-88.
- 24. Casado-Verrier B, Gómez-Fernández C, Paño-Pardo JR, Gómez-Gil R, Mingorance-Cruz J, Moreno-Alonso de Celada R, et al. Prevalencia de infecciones de piel y tejidos blandos producidas por *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente a Meticilina Comunitario en Madrid. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2012; 30:300-6.
- García-Agudo L, Huertas M, Asencio MÁ, Carranza R, García-Martos P. Sensibilidad a antimicrobianos de cepas de *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente a meticilina procedente de pacientes ambulatorios. Rev Esp Quimioter 2011; 24:91-5.

- Cuevas O, Cercenado E, Vindel A, Guinea J, Sánchez-Conde M, Sánchez-Somolinos M, et al. Evolution of the antimicrobial resistance of Staphylococcus spp. in Spain: five nationwide prevalence studies, 1986 to 2002. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48:4240-5.
- Talan DA, Krishnadasan A, Gorwitz RJ, Fosheim GE, Limbago B, Albrecht V, et al. EMERGEncy ID Net Study Group. Comparison of *Staphylococcus aureus* from skin and soft-tissue infections in US emergency department patients, 2004 and 2008. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:144-9.
- Wakatake H, Fujitani S, Kodama T, Kawamoto E, Yamada H, Yanai M, et al. Positive clinical risk factors predict a high rate of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in emergency department patients. Am J Infect Control 2012. doi:10.1016/j. ajic.2012.01.017
- 29. Stenstrom R, Grafstein E, Romney M, Fahimi J, Harris D, Hunte G, Innes G, Christenson J. Prevalence of and risk factors for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin and soft tissue infection in a Canadian emergency department. CJEM. 2009; 11:430-8.
- Heo ST, Peck KR, Ryu SY, Kwon KT, Ko KS, Oh WS, Lee NY, Song JH. Analysis of methicillin resistance among *Staphylococcus aureus* blood isolates in an emergency department. J Korean Med Sci 2007; 22:682-6.
- Viallon A, Marjollet O, Berthelot P, Carricajo A, Guyomarc'h S, Robert F, et al. Risk factors associated with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in patients admitted to the ED. Am J Emerg Med 2007; 25:880-6.
- 32. Muder RR, Brennen C, Rihs JD, Wagener MM, Obman A, Stout JE, et al. Isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* from the urinary tract: association of isolation with symptomatic urinary tract infection and subsequent staphylococcal bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42:46-50.
- Kuo DC, Chasm RM, Witting MD. Emergency physician ability to predict methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin and soft tissue infections. J Emerg Med. 2010; 39:17–20.
- 34. Shorr AFM, Micek STP, Kollef MHM. Inappropriate therapy for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: resource utilization and cost implications. Crit Care Med 2008; 36:2335-40.
- Kaye K, Anderson D, Choi Y, Link K, Thacker P, Sexton D. The deadly toll of invasive methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection in community hospitals. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 46:1568-77.
- Soriano A, Martinez JA, Mensa J, Marco F, Almela M, Moreno-Martinez A, et al. Pathogenic significance of methicillin resistance for patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 30: 368-73.