

Letter to the Editor

Maria Olmedo^{1,3}
Elena Reigadas^{1,2,3}
Maricela Valerio^{1,2,3,4}
Silvia Vázquez-Cuesta^{1,3}
José Antonio Pajares⁵
Ana Matilla⁵
Patricia Muñoz^{1,2,3,4}
Emilio Bouza^{1,2,3,4,6}

Is it reasonable to perform Fecal Microbiota Transplantation for recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infection in patients with liver cirrhosis?

¹Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain.

²Medicine Department, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain.

³Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain.

⁴CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES CB06/06/0058), Madrid, Spain.

⁵Department of Gastroenterology and Digestive Diseases, Madrid, Spain.

⁶Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI3/00687, PI16/00490, PIE16/00055)

Article history

Received: 18 December 2018; Revision Requested: 10 January 2019; Revision Received: 14 January 2019; Accepted: 15 January 2019

Sir,

Fecal Microbiota Transplantation (FMT) is a successful procedure that in recent years has been accelerated and oversimplified, to the point that in the near future it will be probably used in more patients and much earlier in the natural history of CDI [1, 2].

Advanced liver cirrhosis (LC) is a well-known cause of bacterial translocation and bacteremia [3-5] and also in LC patients CDI is frequent, severe and recurrent [6]. Even when LC is not a formal contraindication of FMT, the number of patients with LC who underwent a FMT that have been reported in the medical literature are very scarce and the few episodes reported appear only listed and not described in detail [7].

We report four cases of LC patients with multiple recurrent CDI who received FMT and their clinical outcome (table 1).

Case 1

A 72-year-old woman with LC and hepatocellular carcinoma due to Hepatitis C virus (HCV) had a MELD score of 13 and was classified as Child Pugh C. She had suffered hepatic encephalopathy several times as a result of different infections and received several courses of antimicrobial agents over the course of three months. Other comorbid conditions included multifactorial pancytopenia, esophageal variceal bleeding and severe malnutrition.

In January 2015, she had a first episode of CDI caused by a strain of ribotype 027 which was treated with full dose oral vancomycin, followed by vancomycin tapering. She had four more recurrences and the patient received vancomycin tapering in the first, third and fourth recurrences and fidaxomicin in the second one. Finally, the patient ended up with continuous low doses of vancomycin to control the diarrhea, to enable her to perform her basic activities of daily life. She received a FMT by colonoscopy from which she recovered uneventfully, without any further episodes of CDI during the five months of follow up, with a clear-cut increase in her quality of life.

Case 2

A 60-year-old woman had cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma due to HCV. She had a MELD score of 9 and was classified as Child Pugh C. She also suffered hepatic encephalopathy. Her first episode of 027 CDI was treated with vancomycin tapering. She had a first recurrence that was treated with fidaxomicin and the second one was treated with vancomycin, followed by a FMT via colonoscopy. Three days after the FMT, she developed a single episode of fever with *Escherichia coli* bacteremia without a clear focus of origin other than bacterial translocation. She received ceftriaxone for 10 days with a favorable outcome and no further recurrences of CDI were detected after eleven months follow-up. It should be noted that the patient never had episodes of bacteremia or spontaneous bacterial peritonitis previously.

Case 3

A 57-year-old man had alcoholic cirrhosis with a MELD score of 9 and was classified as Child Pugh B. He had suffered gastrointestinal bleeding due to esophageal varices. In April 2017, he had a Fournier's gangrene requiring surgery and received long-term antibiotics. He had his first episode of CDI treated with metronidazole; subsequently, he had four recurrences which were treated with metronidazole, vancomy-

Correspondence:

Maria Olmedo Samperio.
Servicio de Microbiología Clínica y Enfermedades Infecciosas.
Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón.
C/ Dr. Esquerdo, 46.
28007 Madrid, Spain.
Phone: +34- 665-64-21-77
E-mail: maria.olmedo.samperio@gmail.com

Alternative corresponding author:

Emilio Bouza Santiago
Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón.
C/ Dr. Esquerdo, 46.
28007 Madrid, Spain.
Phone: +34- 91- 586 84 53.
E-mail: emilio.bouza@gmail.com

Table 1		Clinical cases										
Case	Age (years)	Sex	Causes	Comorbidities	Child-Pugh score	MELD score	Ribotype	Number of recurrences	Treatment received	Route of administration	Complications	Follow up (months)
1	72	F	Hepatitis C virus	Hepatocellular carcinoma, Pancytopenia, Esophageal variceal bleeding, Encephalopathy, Malnutrition	C	13	27	4	Vancomycin tapering, Fidaxomicin	Colonoscopy	No	5
2	60	F	Hepatitis C virus	Encephalopathy episodes, Hepatocellular carcinoma	C	19	27	2	Vancomycin tapering, Fidaxomicin	Colonoscopy	<i>Escherichia coli</i> bacteremia	11
3	57	M	Alcohol	Esophageal varices	B	9	no 027	4	Metronidazole, Vancomycin tapering, Fidaxomicin	Colonoscopy	No	4
4	84	F	Hepatitis C virus	Hepatocellular carcinoma, Hepatopulmonar syndrome, Cholangitis	C	11	no 027	5	Metronidazole, Vancomycin tapering, Fidaxomicin	Nasogastric tube	Death due to collangitis	N.A.

cin, fidaxomicin and vancomycin tapering respectively. In the last recurrence, he received a FMT via colonoscopy. No complications have been seen during the recent four months of follow-up.

Case 4

An 84 year-old woman with LC and hepatocellular carcinoma had a MELD score of 11 and was classified as Child Pugh C. She also suffered from hepatopulmonar syndrome and choledocolitiasis with several cholangitis episodes.

In June 2013, she had a first episode of CDI that was treated with metronidazole. She had six recurrences; three were treated with vancomycin standard dose, the fourth with fidaxomicin, the fifth with vancomycin tapering and in the last one treated with vancomycin followed by FMT by nasogastric tube. Seven days later, the patient died due to a new episode of cholangitis but blood cultures had not been obtained.

Advanced liver cirrhosis (LC) was present as an underlying condition in approximately 25% of our patients who received a FMT.

Out of our four cases with advanced LC that were treated with FMT, in our report, two patients had severe complications post procedure and one of them died.

There is no question that the severity of the previous un-

derlying conditions of our four candidates can explain episodes of superinfection at any time which may endanger their lives.

Bacterial translocation and bacteremia is relatively common in advanced LC without the contribution of FMT but the coincidence of FMT in our second patient is a cause of concern. We were surprised by the very low number of LC patients that appear in large series of patients with FMT. We speculate that the risk aversion towards some complications seen in some of our patients may have accounted for a reluctance of some physicians to perform the FMT.

Our literature research highlights one clinical trial that used FMT in LC patients to treat hepatic encephalopathy. However, it is not applicable to our possible future patients, as the methodology was not designed to treat CDI, used a small quantity of stool and an antibiotic prophylaxis prior to FMT [8].

Bacteremia, as a complication of FMT has been reported only anecdotally [3].

Cholangitis after FMT in the fourth patient, it is quite possible that it was a mere coincidence. However, focusing in the second case, a proven episode of superinfection out of our four cases appears to be striking.

As a contrast to our concerns, the three cases who survived the FMT, were free of recurrent CDI episodes in the ongoing follow up periods of eleven, five and one months.

Despite the fact that the advanced LC is not a formal contraindication of FMT, this report is advisory in its intent and

recommends the need for a careful follow up with a systematic review of complications of FMT in cirrhotic patients.

FUNDING

This study was partially financed by Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI3/00687, PI16/00490, PIE16/00055)

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest

REFERENCES

1. Costello SP, Tucker EC, La Brooy J, Schoeman MN, Andrews JM. Establishing a Fecal Microbiota Transplant Service for the Treatment of *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(7):908-14. DOI: 10.1093/cid/civ994
2. Hocquart M, Lagier JC, Cassir N, Saidani N, Eldin C, Kerbaj J, et al. Early Fecal Microbiota Transplantation Improves Survival in Severe *Clostridium difficile* Infections. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;66(5):645-50. DOI: 10.1093/cid/cix762
3. Baxter M, Colville A. Adverse events in faecal microbiota transplant: a review of the literature. *J Hosp Infect*. 2016;92(2):117-27. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2015.10.024
4. Alexopoulou A, Agiasotelli D, Vasilieva LE, Dourakis SP. Bacterial translocation markers in liver cirrhosis. *Ann Gastroenterol*. 2017;30(5):486-97. DOI: 10.20524/aog.2017.0178
5. Grat M, Wronka KM, Krasnodebski M, Masior L, Lewandowski Z, Kosinska I, et al. Profile of Gut Microbiota Associated With the Presence of Hepatocellular Cancer in Patients With Liver Cirrhosis. *Transplant Proc*. 2016;48(5):1687-91. DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2016.01.077
6. Smith EZ, Northup PG, Argo CK. Predictors of Mortality in Cirrhosis Inpatients With *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2017. DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000000868
7. Bakken JS, Borody T, Brandt LJ, Brill JV, Demarco DC, Franzos MA, et al. Treating *Clostridium difficile* infection with fecal microbiota transplantation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011;9(12):1044-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2011.08.014
8. Bajaj JS, Kassam Z, Fagan A, Gavis EA, Liu E, Cox JJ, et al. Fecal microbiota transplant from a rational stool donor improves hepatic encephalopathy: A randomized clinical trial. *Hepatology*. 2017;66(6):1727-38 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2011.08.014