

Ernesto Veiga¹ Mercedes Treviño² Ana Belén Romay¹ Daniel Navarro² Rocío Trastoy² Manuel Macía¹ Prevalence of genital *Mycoplasma* and response

Original

to eradication treatment in patients undergoing assisted reproductive techniques

¹Human Assisted Reproduction Unit. University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela, Spain ²Clinical Microbiology Unit. University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

Article history Received: 17 March 2019; Revision Requested: 14 April 2019; Revision Received: 19 April 2019; Accepted: 14 May 2019

ABSTRACT

Introduction. Several studies have reported greater success of fertilisation by ART in couples who were not infected by *Ureaplasma*. Increased semen quality and better results have also been observed in couples who were treated with antibiotics to eradicate the infection. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of genital mycoplasmas in urine samples from male partners enrolled in the Assisted Reproduction Program (ARP) in our healthcare area so that, positive cases can be treated prior to the use of ART in order to increase the quality of semen, improve the embryo implantation rates and minimize the risk of adverse effects during pregnancy.

Material and methods. This study included couples enrolled in the ARP during 2016. Mycoplasma detection was made using real-time PCR. In positive cases, both members of the couple were treated with antibiotics until eradication of the microorganism. The antibiotics used were: azithromycin, doxycycline, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, and clindamycin.

Results. Of the 205 men studied, 33 were positive: *Ureaplasma urealyticum* 15.1%, *Mycoplasma hominis* 3.9%. Eradication treatment with azithromycin failed in 50% compared to 10.2% for doxycycline. Of the 5 cases treated with levofloxacin, only 2 achieved elimination of *U. urealyticum*.

Conclusions. We consider that genital mycoplasma routine screening could be useful in order to increase the quality of semen which could simplify the *in vitro* fertilisation procedures and raise the success rate of embryo implantation and pregnancy, especially when fast, sensitive and specific technics as real time PCR are used.

Keywords: Mycoplasma; Ureaplasma; Assisted reproduction; doxycycline; azithromycin

Correspondence: Mercedes Treviño

Prevalencia de micoplasmas genitales y respuesta al tratamiento de descolonización en pacientes de reproducción humana asistida

RESUMEN

Introducción. Se han publicado estudios que demuestran mayores tasas de éxito en las técnicas reproducción asistida (TRA) en parejas no infectadas por micoplasmas. El objetivo de este estudio fue determinar la prevalencia de los micoplasmas genitales en muestras de orina del miembro masculino de las parejas incluidas en el Programa de Reproducción Asistida en nuestro Área Sanitaria realizando un tratamiento descolonizador con el fin de incrementar la calidad del semen, mejorar las tasas éxito de la embriotransferencia y minimizar los efectos adversos sobre la gestación.

Material y métodos. Participaron parejas incluidas en el Programa de Reproducción Asistida durante 2016. La detección de los micoplasmas se realizó por PCR en tiempo real. En los casos positivos, la pareja fue tratada con antibióticos hasta la erradicación del microorganismo. Los antibióticos usados fueron: azitromicina, doxiciclina, levofloxacino, moxifloxacino y clindamicina.

Resultados. De los 205 hombres estudiados, 33 fueron positivos: *Ureaplasma urealyticum* 15,1%, *Mycoplasma hom-inis* 3,9%. Azitromicina fracasó en el 50% de los casos y doxiciclina en el 10,2%. Con levofloxacino solo en 2 de 5 se consiguió la erradicación de *U. urealyticum*.

Conclusiones. El cribado de rutina de los micoplasmas genitales puede ser útil para mejorar la calidad del semen. Esto permitiría simplificar los procedimientos de fertilización *in vitro* e incrementar las tasas de éxito en la implantación de los embriones y en la gestación, especialmente con la aplicación de técnicas diagnósticas rápidas y específicas como la PCR en tiempo real.

Clinical Microbiology Unit. University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela. c/ Ramón Baltar s/n. 15702- Santiago de Compostela, Spain Phone: (+34)981 951 703

E-mail: Maria.Mercedes.Trevino.Castellano@sergas.es

Palabras clave: Mycoplasma; Ureaplasma;; reproducción asistida; doxiciclina; azitromicina

E. Veiga, et al.

INTRODUCTION

Genital mycoplasmas (Ureaplasma parvum, Ureaplasma urealvticum. Mycoplasma genitalium and Mycoplasma hominis) are bacteria found in the placenta and/or embryo in preqnant women. They can cause ascending infection after rupture of membranes and severe problems such as premature membrane rupture, preterm labour, miscarriage, postpartum fever, chorioamnionitis, and infection transmission to the newborn infant [1-5]. Several studies have reported greater success of fertilisation by assisted reproductive techniques (ART) in couples who were not infected by Ureaplasma spp. [6, 7]. Increased semen quality and better ART results have also been observed in couples infected by mycoplasmas who were treated with specific antibiotics to eradicate the infection [8, 9]. Moreover, the impact on female reproduction and the health of the fetus and newborn that can have genital mycoplasma suggests a role for routine screening and treatment before undergoing infertility treatment [10-12]. It therefore seems recommendable to avoid the use of semen contaminated/infected with mycoplasmas in ART procedures. However, currently used procedures for selecting and washing spermatozoa prior to fertilisation do not ensure eradication of these bacteria [13].

With the advent of highly sensitive and specific nucleic acid amplification technics, more accurate estimate of the prevalence of genital mycoplasma as well as the control of the efficacy of the antibiotic treatment can be determined.

This study presents the results obtained after screening for genital mycoplasmas in urine using amplification by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in patients enrolled in the assisted human reproduction program at University Hospital Complex of Santiago (CHUS), in Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. This study included couples enrolled in the assisted human reproduction program carried out by the Assisted Human Reproduction Unit at CHUS during 2016. Couples provided their informed consent after the study was approved by the competent Research Ethics Committee and by the hospital's management (reference number 2015/493). The screening for genital mycoplasmas was performed in male urine. In positive cases, both members of the couple received specific antibiotic therapy and had a post-treatment microbiological test. Couples were asked to use protection (condoms) during sexual intercourse for 7-14 days after initiating the treatment. Both members of the couple had a microbiological test 3-4 weeks after completing the treatment. Couples failing antibiotic treatment were prescribed alternative antibiotics until both members had negative post-therapy microbiological tests. No patient was on antibiotic treatment at least, three months prior to collection of the sample. As part of the study protocol prior to in vitro fecundation, all male patients were screened for Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae in the same urine sample used for mycoplasma detection.

Samples. The first fraction of urine (10-15 mL) was collected from males after at least 2 hours without urinating. Urine was collected under the same conditions for the post-treatment tests in female patients.

Determination of *M. genitalium, M. hominis, U. urealyticum,* and *U. parvum.* Genital mycoplasma screening was performed using real-time PCR with LightMix *Mycoplasma gen/hom Ureaplasma* (Roche, Switzerland) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, a 224 or 129 bp fragment of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gap) gene for *M. genitalium* or *M. hominis,* respectively, was amplified with specific primers detected with labelled probes, identified by melting curve analysis. In the same way, a 187 bp long fragment of 16S RNA gene was applied for *U. urealyticum.* This kit detects also *U. urealyticum* Biotype 1 (*U. parvum*) according to manufacturer's information. The sensitivity of the test is 10 copies/ mL.

Nucleic acids were extracted previously using the MagnaPure Compact system (Roche, Switzerland) after concentrating the sample by centrifuging 2 mL of urine for 20 minutes at 14,000g [14]. After discarding the supernatant, 200 μL of the re-suspended sediment were used to load the extraction system.

Antibiotic treatment to eradicate genital colonisation. Any positive PCR finding was treated with azithromycin or doxycycline. Quinolones were used when first line treatment failed.

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS v. 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Statistical significance was set at p< 0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 205 couples were enrolled in the study. The age range was 24 to 54 years in men and 23 to 39 in women, with a median age of 36 years for men and 35 years for women. Most men were Caucasian (n= 201). Other ethnic groups were American (n= 2) and Gypsy (n= 2).

A total of 33 (16.1%) males tested positive by real-time PCR for one or more mycoplasmas: *U. urealyticum* 15.1% (n= 31) and *M. hominis* 3.9% (n= 8), with 6 (2.9%) cases of coinfection with both microorganisms. No sample proved positive for *M. genitalium*.

Initial treatment for *Ureaplasma spp.* was azithromycin in the first 12 couples studied and doxycycline in the remain-

Table 1Number of therapeutic failures depending
on the antibiotic used for initial treatment
in patients positive for *U. urealyticum*

	Men	Women	Couples	_
Azithromycin	1	8	3	
Doxycycline	0	3	1	

1st treatment	2nd treatment	Couple Id.	RT-PCR post-treatment	3rd treatment	RT-PCR post-treatment	4th treatment	RT-PCR post-treatmen
Azithromycin	No	9					
		10	Positive	Levofloxacin	Positive	Clindamycin	Negative
		12	Negative				
		25	Negative				
		28	Negative				
		29	Negative				
Azithromycin Doxycyclin	Doxycyclin	31	Negative				
		36	Negative				
		39	Negative				
		43	Negative				
		46	Negative				
		48	Negative				
Doxycyclin	Levofloxacin	104	Negative	Moxifloxacin ^a	Negative		
		106	Negative				
		130	Negative				
		159	Positive	Clindamycin	Positive	Clindamycin + probiotic	Positive

^aFor *M. hominis* eradication.

ing 19 couples. Table 1 shows post-treatment test results for the cases positive for *U. urealyticum*. Treatment failed in 16 patients (11 females, 1 male, and 2 couples), including 12 patients treated with a single oral dose of azithromycin 1 g and 4 patients treated with doxycycline 100 mg/12h p.o. for 7 days. One of the couples (couple # 61) who tested negative for *U. urealyticum* after initial treatment with doxycycline remained colonised by *M. hominis* and was treated with moxifloxacin (moxifloxacin 400 mg p.o. for 7 days).

Table 2 shows the results of subsequent treatments where real-time PCR tested positive for *U. urealyticum* following a second antibiotic cycle administered after failure of the initial treatment. One of the 12 couples who failed treatment with azithromycin withdrew from the program. The remaining couples were treated with doxycycline 100 mg/12h p.o. for 7 days. There was only one case of therapeutic failure, which was treated alternatively with levofloxacin (500 mg/day, 7 days). As the woman remained positive, she was prescribed vaginal clindamycin 100 mg/day for 3 days. Her post-treatment test was negative.

Doxycycline proved ineffective in 4 of the 19 couples treated initially. In these cases, levofloxacin (500 mg/day, 7 days) was used as an alternative. Only one patient remained PCR-positive for *U. urealyticum*. This patient was then treated with vaginal clindamycin 100 mg/day for 3 days; she required a second course combined with a vaginal probiotic, but she still tested positive.

One of the couples who were successfully treated with levofloxacin initially for *U. urealyticum* required further treatment with moxifloxacin 400 mg p.o. for 7 days as *M. hominis* was detected in the post-treatment test (couple # 104).

The cases of *M. hominis* infection (6/7 in coinfection, 86%) were treated with azithromycin (first 2 couples with coinfection with *Ureaplasma spp.*) and doxycycline (the remaining couples). Treatments failed in 3 patients both them (of whom 2 were members of the same couple) (table 3).

The statistical analysis showed that the response to treatment was poorer among women (p< 0.01). In addition, azithromycin in single-dose regime was significantly less efficient as compared to doxycycline (p< 0.01) in both men and women.

Treatment efficacy was independent of age. However, the age range was too small to observe significant differences.

DISCUSSION

M. hominis and *Ureaplasma* spp. infections are associated with serious problems during pregnancy (chorioamnionitis, premature rupture of membranes, preterm labour, miscarriage during the last trimester of pregnancy) and/or severe infection in the newborn (bronchopulmonary dysplasia, chronic lung infection, intraventricular cerebral haemorrhage) [15, 16]. There is evidence that the use of semen infected with *Ureaplasma spp.* for *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) reduces the rate of pregnancies by embryo transfer [6] and increases no-pregnancy [5] and miscarriage rates [6, 7, 10, 14, 17]. On the other hand, the washing procedures used for semen preparation prior to IVF are not always efficient to eliminate mycoplasmas, as these may remain adhered to the surface of spermatozoa, negatively affecting their guality [13, 18, 19]. In this context, mycoplasma screening in urine samples and subsequent eradication with antibiotic treatment may provide considerable benefits in the ART protocol. Molecular techniques such as real-time PCR are highly valuable diagnostic tools as they provide significant time reductions compared to conventional cultures, are not affected by previous antibiotic use and have much higher sensitivity [20]. Our study found that 15.1% of the males were colonised by Ureaplasma spp. and 3.9% by M. hominis (practically all of whom were coinfected with *Ureaplasma* spp.). These figures are somewhat lower than reported in earlier studies, in which colonisation by Ureaplasma spp. also prevailed over M. hominis [21-24]. Nevertheless, a high variability on genital mycoplasma prevalence has been reported in part due to geographical and methodological differences [25]. Unlike other publications which report rates of up to 5% [21, 26], there were no positive cases of *M. genitalium* in our patients. This could be explained because it's a population with a median age above 35-yearold (some studies report decreasing rates of colonisation by M. hominis with age [27], asymptomatic and, possibly, more conservative sexual behaviour.

Besides the benefits that the eradication of genital mycoplasma can have on the success of assisted reproduction, as already mentioned previously, it was also been shown that pre-emptive antibiotic therapy of genital mycoplasma in colonized pregnant women might represent a beneficial strategy to reduce premature labour and neonatal complications [28].

According to the recommendations of therapeutic guidelines, macrolides are the treatment of choice for Ureaplasma spp. infections, with doxycycline or levofloxacin as alternatives. For *M. hominis*, the treatment of choice is doxycycline, with guinolones as an alternative [29, 30]. As recommended in these guidelines and taking into account the treatment schedules used in studies in colonized women [21], azithromycin 1g/ day single-dose was used to eradicate Ureaplasma spp. in both members of the couple when the male was positive. In addition to being a first-line option, the azithromycin regime simplifies treatment compliance. For the same reason, azithromycin was also used in cases of *Ureaplasma/M. hominis* coinfection. In several studies, azithromycin is reported to be a highly effective antibiotic against Ureaplasma spp., with a very low percentage of resistant strains [31-33]. However, the in vivo results obtained in our series using the treatment recommended for sexually transmitted infections (1 g single dose; www. vademecum.es) revealed the low efficacy of this macrolide. For this reason, after the initial results, doxycycline was used as the first choice (100 mg b.i.d. p.o., 7 days). Some reports have demonstrated that a single dose of azithromycin is less efficient than azithromycin used over longer periods [34], and this could be the reason behind its low efficacy in our series. Possibly, also could contribute its bacteriostatic mechanisms and less efficacy at low pH. Interestingly, we have also observed a higher rate of antibiotic failure against Ureaplasma spp. in women, as compared to men, and this difference was statistically significant (p < 0.01) for azithromycin. Fluoroguinolones (levofloxacin and moxifloxacin) were used as the therapeutic alternative where doxycycline did not eradicate colonisation by genital mycoplasmas. Levofloxacin was ineffective in one couple colonised by Ureaplasma spp. and in another couple with *M. hominis* coinfection. Levofloxacin has been suggested as an effective antimicrobial agent against *Ureaplasma* spp. and M. hominis [35], but our results do not support this, as therapeutic failure occurred in 40% of patients treated with levofloxacin. Nevertheless, in view of the small number of cases, we cannot draw any firm conclusions in this regard. Moxifloxacin has been reported to be a powerful antibiotic against M. hominis [35] and indeed, it was a successful alternative in our series for one couple who had failed levofloxacin.

Clindamycin represent a potential well tolerated treatment for unresolved mycoplasma infections after treatment with tetracyclines and macrolides [4, 5]. In fact, this antibiotic is commonly used to treat bacterial vaginosis involving *M. hominis*. Although *Ureaplasma* spp. are considered intrinsically resistant to lincosamides, given the low percentage of sensitive strains [35, 36], we decided to use clindamycin as a third-line treatment in women showing therapeutic failure with azithromycin, doxycycline and/or levofloxacin, as it can be administered in vaginal suppositories, resulting in high local drug concentrations. Indeed, eradication of *Ureaplasma* spp. was achieved in one case. More assays are necessary to know the effect of probiotics on the success of the antibiotic treatments.

Treatment efficacy was independent of age, even though the age range was too small to observe significant differences.

Using mycoplasma-free semen we could replace intracytoplasmic microinjection by conventional "in vitro" fecundation in 7,6% of the cases (from 3% to 10,6%) which means cost savings of 7% for each case.

In summary, we consider that genital mycoplasma routine screening could be useful in order to increase the quality of semen which could simplify the *in vitro* fertilisation procedures and raise the success rate of embryo implantation. When antibiotic treatment is necessary, real-time PCR significantly reduces time to initiation of treatment and can be used to assess its efficacy. Doxycycline was more efficacious than azithromycin single-dose regime in eliminate genital mycoplasma colonization. On the other hand, eradication of genital mycoplasmas prior to gestation can avoid possible adverse effects on pregnancy, fetus or the newborn, so, according to the opinion of other authors, as genital mycoplasma colonization of cervix is strongly associated with adverse effects as placenta previa, before a planned pregnancy, eradication of this microorganisms is necessary to prevent pregnancy negative outcomes. In addition, eradication of genital mycoplasma allows, in most cases, to use conventional in vitro fecundation technics instead of intracytoplasmic microinjection which is more laborious, complex and expensive.

Table 3Number of therapeutic failures depending on the antibiotic used for initial treatment in patients positive for <i>M. hominis</i>						
	Men	Women	Couples			
Azithromycin	0	1	1			
Doxycycline	0	1	1			

In the case of patients who do not eliminate colonization in the first treatment regime, the benefit of initiating successive alternative antibiotic treatments is debatable due to delayed onset of fertilization and the possible undesirable effects on the vaginal microbiota that seems to play an important role in relation to female fertility [37, 38].

FUNDING

None to declare

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

INFORMED CONSENT

All patients included in the study previously signed the informed consent document.

REFERENCES

- Domingues D, Noguera F, and Tavira L. Papel que desempeñan micoplasmas en las infecciones humanas. Act Med Port; 2005; 18: 377-384. PMID 16611542.
- Breugelmans M, Vancutsem E, Naessens A, Laubach M, Folulon W. Association of abnormal vaginal flora and *Ureaplasma* species as risk factors for preterm birth: a cohort study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2010; 89 (2): 256–260. doi: 10.3109/00016340903418769.
- Tae K, Hye K, Soon MN. Detection of nanobacterianin patients with chronic prostatitis and vaginitis by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction. Korean J. Urol. 2011; 52 (3): 194-9. doi: 10.4111/kju.2011.52.3.194.
- Capoccia R, Greub G, Baud D. Ureaplasma urealyticum, Mycoplasma hominis and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2013; 26 (3): 231-240. doi: 10.1097/QC0.0b013e328360db58.
- Murtha AP, Edwards JM. The role of *Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma* in adverse pregnancy outcomes. Obstet Gynecol Clin N Am. 2014; 41 (4): 615-27. doi: 10.1016/j.ogc.2014.08.010.
- Montagut JM, Lapretre S, Degoy J, Rousseau M. Ureaplasma in semen and IVF. Human Reprod. 1991; 6 (5): 727-29. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.humrep.a137416.
- 7. Wittemer C, Beattahar-Lebugle K, Ohl J, Rongières C, Viville S, Nisand I. Colonisation bacterienne vaginale anormale et implanta-

tion en assistance medicale a la procréation. Gynecol Obstet Fertil. 2004; 32 (2): 135-39. doi: 10.1016/j.gyobfe.2003.11.017.

- Swenson CE, Toth A, O'Leary WM. Ureaplasma urealyticum and human infertility: the effect of antibiotic therapy on semen quality. Fertil Steril. 1979; 31 (6): 660-65. doi: 10.1016/S0015-0282(16)44057-4.
- Toth A, Lesser ML, Brooks C, Labriola D. Subsequent pregnancies among 161 couples treated for T-mycoplasma genital-tract infection. New Engl J Med. 1983; 308 (9): 505-507. doi: 10.1056/ NEJM198303033080907.
- Kanakas N, Mantzavinos T, Boufidou F, Koumentakou I, Creatsas G. Ureaplasma urealyticum in semen: is there any effect on in vitro fertilization outcome? Fertil Steril. 1999; 71 (3): 523-27. doi: 10.1016/S0015-0282(98)00472-5.
- Imudia AN, Detti L, Pusacheck EE, Yelian FD, Diamond MP. The prevalence of Ureaplasma urealyticum, Mycoplasma hominis, Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae infections, and rubella status on patients undergoing an initial infertility evaluation. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2008; 25 (1): 43-6. doi: 10.1007/ s10815-007-9192-z.
- Aydogan P, Hahyaoglu S, Sayqan S, Kaymak O, Mollamahmutoglu L, Danisman N. Does cervical *Ureaplasma/Mycoplasma* colonization increase the lower uterine segment bleeding risk during caesarean section among patients with placenta previa? A cross-sectional study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci; 2014; 18 (16); 2243-7. PMID 25219820.
- Knox CL, Cave DG, Farrell DJ, Eastment HT, Timms P. The role of Ureaplasma urealyticum in adverse pregnancy outcome. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1997; 37 (1): 45-51. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-828X.1997.tb02216.x
- Gdoura R, Kchaou W, Ammar-Keskes L, Chakroun N, Sellemi A, Znazen A, et al. Assessment of *Chlamydia trachomatis, Ureaplasma urealyticum, Ureaplasma parvum, Mycoplasma hominis* and *Mycoplasma genitalium* in semen and first voided urine specimens of asymptomatic male partners of sterile couples. J Androl. 2008; 29 (2): 198-206. doi: 10.2164/jandrol.107.003566.
- Donders GGG, Ruban K, Bellen G and Petricevic L. Mycoplasma/ Ureaplasma infection in pregnancy: to screen or not to screen. J Perinat Med. 2017; 45 (5): 505-15. doi: 10.1515/jpm-2016-0111.
- Knox CL, Allan JA, Allan JM, Edirisinghe WR, Stenzel D, Lawrence FA, et al. Ureaplasma parvum and Ureaplasma urealyticum are detected in semen after washing before assisted reproductive technology procedures. Fertil Steril. 2003; 80 (4): 921–29. doi: 10.1016/S0015-0282(03)01125–7.
- 17. Shalika S, Dugan K, Smith RD, Padilla SL. The effecct of positive semen bacterial and *Ureaplasma* cultures on in-vitro fertilization success. Human Reprod. 1996; 11 (12): 2789-92. doi: 10.1093/ox-fordjournals.humrep.a019211.
- Zeyad A, Amor H, Hammadeh ME. The Impact of Bacterial Infections on Human Spermatozoa. International Journal of Women's Health and Reproduction Sciences. 2017; 5 (4): 243–252. doi: 10.15296/ijwhr.2017.43.
- 19. Hannachi H, Elloumi H, Hamdoun M, Kacem K, Zhioua A, Bahri O.

Bacteriospermia: Effects on semen parameters. Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol. 2018; 46 (6): 518-523. doi: 10.1016/j.gofs.2018.03.014.

- Acosta Boga B, Codina Grau MG, Matas Andreu L, Meseguer Peinado MA. Diagnóstico microbiológico de las infecciones por *Mycoplasma spp.* y *Ureaplasma spp.* Procedimientos en Microbiología Clínica Cap 40. Recomendaciones de la Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. 2011.
- 21. Andrade-Rocha FT. *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis* in men attending for routine semen analysis. Prevalence, incidence by age and clinical settings, influence on sperm characteristics, relationship with the leukocyte count and clinical value. Urol Int. 2003; 71 (4): 377-81. doi: 10.1159/000074089.
- 22. Daxboeck F, Zitta S, Stadler M, Iro E, Krause R. *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in patients with sterile pyuria. J Infect. 2001; 51 (1): 54-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2004.06.010.
- 23. Horner P, Donders G, Cusini M, Gomberg M, Jensen JS, Unemo M. Should we be testing for urogenital Mycoplasma hominis, *Ureaplasma* parvum and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in men and women? a position statement from the European STI Guidelines Editorial Board. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2018; 32 (11):1845-51. doi: 10.1111/jdv.15146.
- Kasprzykowska U, Sobieszczańska B, Duda-Madej A, Secewicz A, Nowicka J, Gościniak G. A twelve-year retrospective analysis of prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Ureaplasma* spp. and *Mycoplasma hominis* in the province of Lower Silesia in Poland. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2018; 220:44-49. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.11.010.
- Seifoleslami M, Safari A, Khayyat Khameneie M. Prevalence of Ureaplasma urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis in High Vaginal Swab Samples of Infertile Females. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2015; 17 (12): e16823. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.16823.
- Asenjo A, Kusters JG, Severs TT, JL. *Mycoplasma genitalium* in Spain: prevalence of genital infection and frequency of resistance to macrolides. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2018; 36 (3): 169–171. doi: 10.1016/j.eimc.2017.01.006.
- Pignanelli S, Pulcrano G, Schiavone P, Lula VD, Catania MR. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Mycoplasma hominis* genital isolates. Indian J Dermatol Venerol. Leprol. 2015; 81 (3): 286-88. doi: 10.4103/0378-6323.153520.
- Vouga M, Greub G, Prod'hom G, Durussel C, Roth-Kleiner M, Vasilevsky, et al. Treatment of genital mycoplasma in colonized pregnant women in late pregnancy is associated with a lower rate of premature labour and neonatal complications. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014; 20 (10): 1074-79. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12686.
- Horner P, Blee K, O'Mahoney C, Muir P, Evans C, Radkliffe K. On behalf of the clinical effectiveness group of the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV. 2015 UK national Guideline on the management of non-gonococal urethritis. Int J STD & AIDS. 2016; 27 (2): 85-96. doi: 10.1177/0956462415586675.
- 30. Mensa J, Gatell JM, García JE. Guía de terapéutica antimicrobiana. Barcelona: Ed Antares, 2017.
- 31. Redelinghuys MJ, Ehlers MM, Dreyer AW, Lombaard HA, Kock MM. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Ureaplasma* species and

Mycoplasma hominis in pregnant women. BMC Infect Dis. 2014; 14: 171-177. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-171.

- Schneider SC, Tinguely R, Droz S, Hilty M, Dona V, Bodmer T, et al. Antibiotic susceptibility and sequence type distribution of *Ureaplasma* species isolated from genital samples in Switzerland. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015; 59 (10): 6026-31. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00895-15.
- Lee MY, Kim MH, Lee WI, Kang SY, Jeon YL. Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in Pregnant Women. Yonsei Med J. 2016; 57 (5): 1271-75. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2016.57.5.1271.
- 34. Skerk V, Schönwald S, Krhen I, Rusinovic M, Strapac Z, Vukovic J. Azithromycin and doxycycline in the treatment of female patients with acute urethral syndrome caused by *Ureaplasma urealyticum*: significance of duration of clinical symptoms. Drugs Exp Clin Res. 2001; 27 (4): 135-39. PMID 11822223.
- Krausse R, Schubert S. In-Vitro activities of tetracyclines, macrolides, fluoroquinolone and clindadamycin against *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma spp* isolated in Germany over 20 years. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010; 16 (11): 1649-55. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.03155.x.
- Pónyai K, Ostorházi E, Farkas B, Párducz L, Marschalkó M, Kárpáti S, et al. Incidence and antibiotic susceptibility of genital mycoplasmas in sexually active individuals in Hungary. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013; 32 (11): 1423-26. doi: 10.1007/s10096-013-1892-y.
- Sirota I, Zarek SM, Segars JH. Potential influence of the microbiome on infertility and assisted reproductive technology. Semin Reprod Med. 2014; 32 (1): 35-42. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1361821.
- Kroon SJ, Ravel J, Huston WM. Cervicovaginal microbiota, women's health, and reproductive outcomes. Fertil Steril. 2018, 110 (3): 327-336. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.06.036.